ANNUAL EXPENDITURE REPORT FISCAL YEAR 2003



INDIGENT DEFENSE, TEXAS TASK FORCE P.O. Box 12066, Austin, Texas 78711 205 W. 14th Street, Suite 700, Austin, Texas 78701 512-936-6994; FAX: 512-475-3450

website: www.courts.state.tx.us/tfid

OFFICERS:

Honorable Sharon Keller Chair – Presiding Judge, Court of Criminal Appeals

Honorable Olen Underwood Vice-Chair – Judge, 284th Judicial District,

Presiding Judge, 2nd Administrative Judicial Region

EX OFFICIO MEMBERS:

Honorable Sharon Keller Austin, Presiding Judge, Court of Criminal Appeals

Honorable Robert Duncan

Honorable Terry Keel

Lubbock, State Senator

Austin, State Representative

Honorable Ann McClure El Paso, Justice, 8th Court of Appeals

Honorable Orlinda Naranjo Travis County Court at Law #2

Honorable Thomas Phillips Austin, Chief Justice, Supreme Court

Honorable Todd Smith Bedford, State Representative

Honorable John Whitmire Houston, State Senator

MEMBERS APPOINTED BY GOVERNOR:

Mr. Eduardo Arredondo Marble Falls, Attorney, Law Office of Eduardo Arredondo

Honorable Jon Burrows Temple, Bell County Judge

Mr. Knox Fitzpatrick Dallas, Attorney, Fitzpatrick, Hagood, Fisher & Holmes

Honorable Olen Underwood

Judge, 284th Judicial District, Presiding Judge
2nd Administrative Judicial Region of Texas

Honorable B. Glen Whitley Hurst, Tarrant County Commissioner

Past Members

Honorable Kenneth Armbrister, State Senator, served through January 2003. Honorable Pete Gallego, State Representative, served through January 2003. Honorable Juan Hinojosa, State Senator, served through January 2003.

STAFF:

James D. Bethke Director

Wesley Shackelford Special Counsel Terri Tuttle Executive Assistant

Sharon Whitfield Budget and Accounting Analyst

Bryan Wilson Grants Administrator

TABLE OF CONTENTS


I	Fiscal Year 2003 Expenditures	1
II	Operating Budget for Fiscal Year 2003	4
Ш	FY03 Grants	5
	Formula Grants	5
	Discretionary Grants	17

I. Fiscal Year 2003 Expenditures

As expenditures for indigent defense continue to rise throughout the state, the Task Force is committed to assisting counties with these expenses. Of the amount appropriated to the Task Force from the Legislature, 94% is used solely to provide funding (including grants) to qualifying counties. Of the \$130,345,244 expended on indigent defense by counties, state grant funding represents approximately 10% of that amount.

Indigent defense expenditures rose over \$21 million in FY02 compared to FY01 expenditures in Texas. This represents an increase of 23%. The amount paid by counties for attorney fees increased 20% in FY02 compared to FY01. It is not known whether this percentage of statewide increase is directly related to the Fair Defense Act provisions in areas such as higher rates paid to attorneys and more attorney appointments by courts or if it is due to increased crime or other factors.


Indigent defense expenditures rose an additional \$16.4 million in FY03 compared to FY02 expenditures in Texas. This represents an increase of 14% and a total increase over FY01 expenditures of 41% The amount paid by counties for attorney fees increased by 16% in FY03 compared to FY02. While the costs for defense investigation and expert witnesses increased from FY02 to FY03, the costs for "other litigation expenses" decreased. The total amount paid by counties for litigation fees (defense investigation, expert witness fees and other litigation expenses) decreased by \$867,888 or 7% in FY03 compared to FY02. It is not known, based on the data collected, whether this decrease was due to increased efficiency in the court system, new standards regarding payment of fees, or a more clear understanding of what items constitute other litigation expenses.



In FY03, 203 counties reported increases in direct indigent defense spending (attorney fees, defense investigation, expert witness and other litigation expenses). When Task Force grant funds to counties are considered, only 152 counties reported an increase in indigent defense spending.


As was experienced in FY02, the largest Texas counties (Harris, Tarrant, Dallas, El Paso and Bexar) reported the greatest actual expenditure increase in FY03, although the percentage varied – Harris 57%; Tarrant 82%; Dallas 7%; El Paso 35%; and Bexar 42%. These percentages are based on actual expenditures in excess of the FY01 baseline expenses and the grant funds received from the Task Force.

Counties with the Greatest Actual Expenditure Increase



Many rural counties again experienced large percentage increases but rather small actual expenses. The ten counties with the largest percentage increases amount to \$448,984 or less than 1% of the total statewide increase based on actual expenditures in excess of the FY01 baseline expenses and the grant funds received from the Task Force.

Counties with the Largest Percentage Increase over FY01 Expenditures



II. Operating Budget for Fiscal Year 2003

Budget Category	FY03 Total Expended	FY02 Comparative Total*
Salaries and Wages	\$316,243.00	\$110,204.00
Other Personnel Costs	\$385.00	\$0.00
Benefit Replacement Pay	\$2,054.00	\$1,027.00
Payroll Related Costs	\$0.00	\$11,273.00
Professional Fees and Services	\$7,855.00	\$32,675.00
Computer/Programming Services	\$46,410.00	\$0.00
In-State Travel	\$11,295.00	\$3,205.00
Out-of-State Travel	\$0.00	\$0.00
Training	\$2,475.00	\$2,205.00
Postage	\$1,616.00	\$4,384.00
Materials and Supplies	\$18,181.00	\$30,310.00
Printing and Reproduction	\$5,079.00	\$2,847.00
Maintenance and Repairs	\$24.00	\$243.00
Telecommunications	\$3,421.00	\$8,795.00
Rentals and Leases	\$1,370.00	\$426.00
Other Operating Expenses	\$88,697.00	\$22,334.00
Formula Grant Payments	\$10,410,103.00	\$7,298,124.00 ¹
Discretionary Grant Payment	\$1,383,403.00	\$0.00
Capital Outlay	\$0.00	\$1,863.00
		. ,
Total	\$12,298,611.00	\$7,529,915.00

Method of Finance Category	FY03 Revenue Received	FY02 Revenue Received
C.D. Dadiadian (OD 7) Davidon	#44.540.400.00	#0 00 7 000 00
G.R. Dedication (SB 7) Revenue	\$11,513,490.00	\$9,267,633.00
7% Reduction	-\$835,800.00	\$0.00
Net Revenue	\$10,677,690.00	\$9,267,633.00
FY02 Carryover Revenue	\$1,737,718.00	(\$1,737,718.00)
FY03 Carryover Revenue	(\$116,797.00)	\$0.00
Total	\$12,298,611.00	\$7,529,915.00

^{*} In FY02, the Task Force was not operational until January and not fully staffed until May 2002, therefore, administrative costs were lower in FY02. FY03 presents an annual expenditure level for administrative costs.

¹Actual grant amounts expended for FY02 total \$7,079,677 based on final reports submitted by counties as of November 1, 2003. The difference of \$218,447 must be refunded by counties receiving funds they were unable to expend during the grant period.

Due to time constraints, the Task Force was unable to expend all revenue received in FY02, therefore, \$1.7 million was carried forward into FY03. In FY03, not all grant awards were expended and \$116,797 will be carried forward into FY04.

III. FY03 Grants

In fiscal year 2003, grant funding was dispersed two ways:

- 1. Formula Grants
- 2. Discretionary Grants

Formula grants use a standard allocation "formula" to distribute funds to all counties who apply for funding and document expenditures for indigent defense, as outlined by Task Force rules. Formula grants accounted for 87% of the funds distributed to counties in FY 2003.

Discretionary grants were also awarded this year. This is a competitive grant program. These grants are awarded on a competitive process and are intended to encourage innovative programs to increase the quality of indigent defense services. Twenty grants, totaling \$1.5 million were awarded in FY 2003.


Formula Grants

Formula Grants provide money to counties for increased indigent defense costs based on a formula set by the Task Force. Qualifying counties are eligible for funds determined by the formula only to the extent their spending exceeds spending in their baseline year (FY2001). The current formula provides that all counties may be eligible for a \$5,000 "floor." The remaining funds set aside by the Task Force for these purposes are then allocated based on the counties' percent of the State of Texas' population. Counties must meet minimum spending requirements to receive credit for spending the funds as required in Texas Government Code Section 71.062 (d).

The Task Force awarded \$10.8 million in FY03 to 242 Texas Counties. The largest award was issued to Harris County for \$1,595,202 and the smallest was to Loving County for \$5,027. Twelve counties did not apply for the grant². The main reason for not applying was that these counties did not use the FY02 grant or did not have or did not anticipate having increased indigent defense costs. Counties that did not apply for the grant were eligible to receive direct disbursements if they encountered indigent defense expenses above their baseline. No counties applied for direct disbursement in FY03. A complete list of counties receiving formula grant funds and the value of the award is contained in Appendix D.


Reduced revenues from legislative cuts and reduced court costs collections adversely impacted the FY03 grant management process. In January 2003, the Governor, Lt. Governor, and Speaker requested all state agencies reduce budgets by 7% for the current

 $^{^2\} Cochran\ ,\ Glasscock\ ,\ Hartley,\ Hemphill,\ Hutchinson,\ Kenedy,\ King\ ,\ La\ Salle,\ Lavaca,\ McMullen,\ Pecos,\ Zavala$



fiscal year. Court cost collections also decreased by approximately \$400,000. These events impacted the formula grant awards after they were issued. The Task Force however was able to overcome these challenges with minimal or no direct impact to the courts and counties.

FY02 Formula Grants	Amount	FY03 Formula Grants	Amount
Formula Grant Funds Awarded	\$7,298,124	Formula Grant Funds Awarded	\$10,800,000
Formula Grant Funds Expended	\$7,079,677	Formula Grant Funds Expended	\$10,410,103



The Task Force on Indigent Defense awarded \$7,298,124 in grant funding to 240 counties for FY 2002. Funding was awarded in the form of a formula grant. Counties qualified for funding if their FY02 annualized expenses were greater than their FY01 baseline expenses. Because grant funding was released to the counties toward the end of the grant period, the Task Force adopted a policy allowing counties an additional year to expend their grants. The policy required counties to expend their FY02 funds prior to receiving funding out of the FY03 grant cycle. At the end of the extended grant period, grant funds expended totaled \$7,079,677. Counties expended 97% of total FY02 grant funding awarded to them.

In FY 2003, the Task Force received \$12,332,203 to disperse to counties in total grant funding. In FY02 the Task Force was operational for only 9 months, and therefore, did not receive the same level of funding as it received for the entire year of FY03. Of the 254 Texas counties, 242 counties applied for Formula Grants totaling \$10,800,000. Of the amount awarded for formula grants, \$10,410,103 was disbursed, as counties had \$406,807 in FY02 funds to expend prior to receiving their entire FY03 grant award. Of the \$10,410,103 dispersed to counties for FY03, initial reports indicate that \$10,011,331

was expended by counties. The Task Force is in the process of contacting counties who did not expend their entire FY03 grant to determine if there were reporting errors or if the county is to refund the unexpended total. Any funds returned to the Task Force will be carried over to the FY04 grant cycle and included in funds dispersed to counties for FY04 expenditures.

FY03 Formula Grant Expenditures

County	Baseline or Adjusted Baseline	FY03 Total Expenditures	FY 03 Eligible Expenditure over Baseline	FY03 Grant Award	Budgeted Less FY02 Overpayment	Actual FY03 Expenditures over Baseline	Budgeted Maximum Payment	Payment to Date	Final Amount Due To (From)
Anderson	¢220.640	¢ 540.044	#200 402	¢20.770		¢200.402	¢20.770	#20.000	£4.000
	\$229,618	\$519,811	\$290,193	\$30,770		\$290,193	\$30,770	\$29,690	\$1,080
Andrews	\$127,018	\$96,124	(\$30,894)	\$11,081		(\$30,894)	\$11,081 \$40,474	\$10,692	(\$10,692)
Angelina *	\$203,764	\$292,155	\$88,391	\$42,471	\$6,981	\$88,391	\$42,471	\$40,980	\$1,491
Aransas	\$128,431	\$145,692	\$17,261	\$13,987	ψ0,301	\$17,261	\$7,006	#0.040	\$10,280
Archer *	\$8,686	\$18,237	\$9,551	\$9,140	\$824	\$9,551	\$9,140	\$8,819	\$321
Armstrong	\$6,250	\$6,763	\$513	\$5,858	\$7,019	\$513	\$5,034		(\$311)
Atascosa *	\$149,485	\$187,306	\$37,821	\$20,431	φ1,019	\$37,821	\$13,412	* 45.400	\$20,431
Austin	\$32,985	\$96,518	\$63,533	\$16,031		\$63,533	\$16,031	\$15,468	\$563
Bailey *	\$23,985	\$26,330	\$2,345	\$8,083		\$2,345	\$8,083	\$7,799	(\$5,454)
Bandera *	\$58,967	\$78,459	\$19,492	\$13,251		\$19,492	\$13,251	\$12,786	\$465
Bastrop	\$262,398	\$428,143	\$165,745	\$31,997		\$165,745	\$31,997	\$30,873	\$1,124
Baylor	\$7,600	\$29,814	\$22,214	\$6,914		\$22,214	\$6,914	\$6,671	\$243
Bee	\$148,674	\$134,732	(\$13,942)	\$20,132		(\$13,942)	\$20,132	\$19,425	(\$19,425)
Bell	\$809,843	\$1,264,457	\$454,614	\$116,283		\$454,614	\$116,283	\$112,200	\$4,083
Bexar	\$4,908,882	\$7,663,786	\$2,754,904	\$656,373		\$2,754,904	\$656,372	\$633,324	\$23,049
Blanco	\$10,267	\$8,215	(\$2,052)	\$8,363	\$1,501	(\$2,052)	\$6,862		(\$1,501)
Borden	\$3,648	\$650	(\$2,998)	\$5,291		(\$2,998)	\$5,291	\$5,105	(\$5,105)
Bosque	\$24,577	\$50,529	\$25,952	\$13,045		\$25,952	\$13,045	\$12,587	\$458
Bowie *	\$346,506	\$511,401	\$164,895	\$46,761		\$164,895	\$46,761	\$45,119	\$1,642
Brazoria	\$725,595	\$1,243,916	\$518,321	\$118,056		\$518,321	\$118,056	\$113,910	\$4,146
Brazos *	\$885,531	\$815,553	(\$69,978)	\$76,274		(\$69,978)	\$76,274	\$73,596	(\$73,596)
Brewster	\$55,978	\$14,152	(\$41,826)	\$8,542	\$7,700	(\$41,826)	\$842		(\$7,700)
Briscoe	\$1,658	\$14,887	\$13,229	\$5,837		\$13,229	\$5,837	\$5,632	\$205
Brooks *	\$42,936	\$53,400	\$10,464	\$8,186	\$7,438	\$10,464	\$748		\$3,026
Brown	\$134,680	\$254,051	\$119,371	\$22,617		\$119,371	\$22,617	\$21,823	\$794
Burleson *	\$125,051	\$136,684	\$11,633	\$12,702		\$11,633	\$12,702	\$12,256	(\$623)

County	Baseline or Adjusted Baseline	FY03 Total Expenditures	FY 03 Eligible Expenditure over Baseline	FY03 Grant Award	Budgeted Less 02 Overpayment	Actual 03 Expenditures over Baseline	Budgeted Maximum Payment	Payment to Date	Final Amount Due To (From)
Burnet	\$151,590	\$256,793	\$105,203	\$20,968	- Crorpaymont	\$105,203	\$20,968	\$20,232	\$736
Caldwell *	\$248,051	\$294,634	\$46,583	\$20,055		\$46,583	\$20,055	\$19,351	\$704
Calhoun	\$52,627	\$88,746	\$36,119	\$14,655		\$36,119	\$14,655	\$14,140	\$515
Callahan	\$10,805	\$27,741	\$16,936	\$10,155		\$16,936	\$10,155	\$9,798	\$357
Cameron	\$652,706	\$976,615	\$323,909	\$161,761		\$323,909	\$161,761	\$156,081	\$5,680
Camp	\$22,913	\$32,280	\$9,367	\$10,401		\$9,367	\$10,401	\$10,036	(\$669)
Carson	\$10,164	\$53,577	\$43,413	\$8,047		\$43,413	\$8,047	\$7,764	\$283
Cass	\$83,450	\$146,359	\$62,909	\$19,234		\$62,909	\$19,234	\$18,559	\$675
Castro	\$27,144	\$35,186	\$8,042	\$8,875		\$8,042	\$8,875	\$8,563	(\$521)
Chambers	\$99,239	\$114,323	\$15,084	\$15,399		\$15,084	\$15,399	\$14,858	\$226
Cherokee *	\$145,617	\$189,696	\$44,079	\$26,819		\$44,079	\$26,819	\$25,877	\$942
Childress	\$32,001	\$51,826	\$19,825	\$8,595		\$19,825	\$8,595	\$8,293	\$302
Clay	\$24,401	\$37,259	\$12,858	\$9,397	\$1,893	\$12,858	\$7,504	. ,	\$9,397
Cochran	\$14,100		(\$14,100)			(\$14,100)	\$0		
Coke	\$7,650	\$4,435	(\$3,215)	\$6,544		(\$3,215)	\$6,544	\$6,314	(\$6,314)
Coleman	\$13,870	\$42,590	\$28,720	\$9,318		\$28,720	\$9,318	\$8,991	\$327
Collin	\$2,548,141	\$3,556,142	\$1,008,001	\$234,920		\$1,008,001	\$234,920	\$226,671	\$8,249
Collingsworth	\$6,020		(\$6,020)	\$6,499		(\$6,020)	\$6,499	\$6,271	(\$6,271)
Colorado	\$88,038	\$101,874	\$13,836	\$13,146	\$1,269	\$13,836	\$11,877		\$12,567
Comal	\$377,520	\$413,512	\$35,992	\$41,484		\$35,992	\$41,484	\$40,027	(\$4,035)
Comanche	\$16,777	\$33,448	\$16,671	\$11,559		\$16,671	\$11,559	\$11,153	\$406
Concho	\$10,360	\$33,761	\$23,401	\$6,584	\$636	\$23,401	\$5,948	\$6,353	\$231
Cooke	\$137,915	\$315,795	\$177,880	\$22,004		\$177,880	\$22,004	\$21,231	\$773
Coryell	\$100,268	\$142,678	\$42,410	\$40,062		\$42,410	\$40,062	\$38,655	\$1,407
Cottle	\$4,143	\$2,950	(\$1,193)	\$5,761	\$2,850	(\$1,193)	\$2,911		(\$2,850)
Crane	\$1,094	\$7,028	\$5,934	\$6,868		\$5,934	\$6,868	\$6,627	(\$693)
Crockett *	\$43,989	\$51,485	\$7,496	\$6,916		\$7,496	\$6,916	\$6,673	\$243
Crosby	\$6,175	\$8,350	\$2,175	\$7,825		\$2,175	\$7,825	\$5,868	(\$3,693)
Culberson	\$13,000	\$7,017	(\$5,983)	\$6,188		(\$5,983)	\$6,188	\$4,641	(\$4,641)
Dallam *	\$25,430	\$48,732	\$23,302	\$7,910		\$23,302	\$7,910	\$7,632	\$278
Dallas	\$16,099,401	\$18,326,945	\$2,227,544	\$1,042,618		\$2,227,544	\$1,042,617	\$1,006,006	\$36,612

County	Baseline or Adjusted Baseline	FY03 Total Expenditures	FY 03 Eligible Expenditure over Baseline	FY03 Grant Award	Budgeted Less 02 Overpayment	Actual 03 Expenditures over Baseline	Budgeted Maximum Payment	Payment to Date	Final Amount Due To (From)
Dawson	\$40,952	\$64,729	\$23,777	\$12,007		\$23,777	\$12,007	\$11,585	\$422
Deaf Smith	\$102,720	\$165,490	\$62,770	\$13,680		\$62,770	\$13,680	\$13,200	\$480
Delta	\$14,514	\$24,175	\$9,661	\$7,491		\$9,661	\$7,491	\$7,228	\$263
Denton	\$1,624,832	\$2,025,984	\$401,152	\$207,472		\$401,152	\$207,472	\$200,187	\$7,285
DeWitt	\$43,063	\$54,877	\$11,814	\$12,995	\$3,102	\$11,814	\$9,893		\$8,712
Dickens *	\$9,466	\$6,207	(\$3,259)	\$6,103		(\$3,259)	\$6,103	\$5,889	(\$5,889)
Dimmit	\$17,687	\$71,274	\$53,587	\$9,094		\$53,587	\$9,094		\$9,094
Donley	\$6,708	\$23,117	\$16,409	\$6,790		\$16,409	\$6,790	\$6,552	\$238
Duval *	\$17,250	\$32,360	\$15,110	\$10,241		\$15,110	\$10,241	\$9,881	\$360
Eastland *	\$24,101	\$43,174	\$19,073	\$13,556		\$19,073	\$13,556	\$13,080	\$476
Ector	\$548,228	\$796,129	\$247,901	\$61,640		\$247,901	\$61,640	\$46,230	\$46,230
Edwards	\$30,000	\$23,798	(\$6,202)	\$5,864	\$5,728	(\$6,202)	\$136		(\$5,728)
El Paso	\$4,152,669	\$5,934,748	\$1,782,079	\$322,809		\$1,782,079	\$322,809	\$311,473	\$11,336
Ellis	\$331,560	\$731,746	\$400,186	\$57,075		\$400,186	\$57,075	\$55,071	\$2,004
Erath	\$69,503	\$97,612	\$28,109	\$18,183	\$14,799	\$28,109	\$3,384	\$17,544	(\$4,234)
Falls *	\$88,986	\$118,959	\$29,973	\$13,687		\$29,973	\$13,687	\$13,206	\$481
Fannin	\$249,533	\$214,040	(\$35,493)	\$19,610		(\$35,493)	\$19,610	\$18,921	(\$18,921)
Fayette	\$27,279	\$57,900	\$30,621	\$15,196		\$30,621	\$15,196	\$14,662	\$534
Fisher	\$14,363	\$18,229	\$3,866	\$6,735	\$4,128	\$3,866	\$2,607		(\$262)
Floyd	\$28,363	\$32,384	\$4,021	\$8,104	\$4,405	\$4,021	\$3,699		(\$384)
Foard	\$1,828	\$4,821	\$2,993	\$5,648	\$5,569	\$2,993	\$79		(\$2,576)
Fort Bend	\$870,299	\$1,858,510	\$988,211	\$170,751		\$988,211	\$170,751	\$164,755	\$5,996
Franklin	\$10,238	\$21,850	\$11,612	\$9,422		\$11,612	\$9,422	\$9,091	\$331
Freestone	\$56,068	\$73,246	\$17,178	\$13,355		\$17,178	\$13,355	\$12,886	\$469
Frio	\$35,333	\$25,707	(\$9,626)	\$11,492	\$8,766	(\$9,626)	\$2,726		(\$8,766)
Gaines	\$27,984	\$37,582	\$9,598	\$10,779	\$3,323	\$9,598	\$7,456		\$6,275
Galveston	\$1,453,279	\$1,606,276	\$152,997	\$104,934	\$2,270	\$152,997	\$102,664		\$104,934
Garza	\$17,438	\$24,939	\$7,501	\$7,278		\$7,501	\$7,278	\$7,022	\$256
Gillespie	\$36,184	\$46,463	\$10,279	\$13,315	\$2,006	\$10,279	\$11,309		\$8,273

County	Baseline or Adjusted Baseline	FY03 Total Expenditures	FY 03 Eligible Expenditure over Baseline
Glasscock	\$1,500	\$1,330	(\$170)
Goliad *	\$11,946	\$20,175	\$8,229
Gonzales	\$62,315	\$65,465	\$3,150
Gray	\$89,376	\$211,384	\$122,008
Grayson	\$623,444	\$745,333	\$121,889
Gregg	\$569,051	\$671,056	\$102,005
Grimes	\$118,959	\$209,237	\$90,278
Guadalupe	\$159,171	\$351,453	\$192,282
Hale *	\$264,699	\$257,326	(\$7,373)
Hall	\$7,006	\$14,747	\$7,741
Hamilton	\$11,902	\$19,990	\$8,088
Hansford	\$14,255	\$19,496	\$5,241
Hardeman *	\$17,382	\$22,702	\$5,320
Hardin *	\$133,326	\$184,234	\$50,908
Harris	\$10,997,784	\$18,967,633	\$7,969,849
Harrison	\$287,595	\$307,817	\$20,222
Hartley	\$11,763	\$24,450	\$12,687
Haskell	\$10,750	\$32,193	\$21,443
Hays	\$483,045	\$668,203	\$185,158
Hemphill	\$120,058	\$50,038	(\$70,020)
Henderson	\$369,937	\$682,890	\$312,953
Hidalgo	\$2,076,345	\$2,536,288	\$459,943
Hill	\$166,437	\$272,441	\$106,004
Hockley	\$69,326	\$141,693	\$72,366
Hood	\$110,056	\$191,614	\$81,558
Hopkins *	\$91,950	\$137,361	\$45,411
Houston *	\$122,780	\$180,436	\$57,656
Howard	\$54,180	\$98,538	\$44,358
Hudspeth	\$16,034	\$27,770	\$11,735
Hunt *	\$414,353	\$478,610	\$64,257

FY03 Grant Award	Budgeted Less 02 Overpayment
	\$1,611
\$7,768	\$863
\$12,442	\$7,844
\$15,636	
\$56,717	
\$57,084	
\$16,014	
\$46,629	
\$19,622	\$15,858
\$6,511	\$6,204
\$8,848	
\$7,511	
\$6,887	\$3,058
\$24,204	
\$1,595,203	
\$34,044	
	\$5,843
\$7,849	
\$50,635	
	\$6,077
\$39,266	
\$271,296	
\$20,114	
\$14,075	
\$24,220	
\$19,946	
\$15,842	
\$20,724	
\$6,336	
\$40,818	

Actual 03 Expenditures over Baseline	Budgeted Maximum Payment	Payment to Date	Final Amount Due To (From)
(\$170)	(\$1,611)		(\$1,611)
\$8,229	\$6,905		\$7,366
\$3,150	\$4,598		(\$4,694)
\$122,008	\$15,636	\$15,087	\$549
\$121,889	\$56,717	\$54,725	\$1,992
\$102,005	\$57,084	\$55,079	\$2,005
\$90,278	\$16,014	\$15,452	\$562
\$192,282	\$46,629	\$44,992	\$1,637
(\$7,373)	\$3,764		(\$15,858)
\$7,741	\$307		\$1,537
\$8,088	\$8,848	\$8,537	(\$449)
\$5,241	\$7,511	\$7,247	(\$2,006)
\$5,320	\$3,829		\$2,262
\$50,908	\$24,204	\$23,354	\$850
\$7,969,849	\$1,595,202	\$1,539,187	\$56,016
\$20,222	\$34,044	\$32,849	(\$12,627)
\$12,687	(\$5,843)		
\$21,443	\$7,849	\$7,573	\$276
\$185,158	\$50,635	\$48,857	\$1,778
(\$70,020)	(\$6,077)		(\$6,077)
\$312,953	\$39,266	\$37,887	\$1,379
\$459,943	\$271,296	\$261,769	\$9,527
\$106,004	\$20,114	\$19,408	\$706
\$72,366	\$14,075	\$13,581	\$494
\$81,558	\$24,220	\$23,370	\$850
\$45,411	\$19,946	\$19,246	\$700
\$57,656	\$15,842	\$15,286	\$556
\$44,358	\$20,724	\$19,996	\$728
\$11,735	\$6,336	\$6,114	\$222
\$64,257	\$40,818	\$39,385	\$1,433

County	Baseline or Adjusted Baseline	FY03 Total Expenditures	FY 03 Eligible Expenditure over Baseline
Hutchinson	\$143,283	-	(\$143,283)
Irion	\$2,805	\$11,003	\$8,198
Jack	\$29,843	\$33,655	\$3,812
Jackson	\$28,362	\$73,871	\$45,509
Jasper *	\$80,898	\$59,100	(\$21,798)
Jeff Davis	\$400		(\$400)
Jefferson	\$1,320,921	\$1,851,065	\$530,144
Jim Hogg	\$8,981		(\$8,981)
Jim Wells	\$150,339	\$234,770	\$84,431
Johnson	\$301,286	\$370,269	\$68,983
Jones *	\$37,602	\$71,779	\$34,177
Karnes	\$28,275	\$23,500	(\$4,775)
Kaufman *	\$179,045	\$310,831	\$131,786
Kendall	\$54,628	\$77,609	\$22,981
Kenedy			\$0
Kent	\$1,125	\$816	(\$309)
Kerr *	\$272,989	\$274,852	\$1,863
Kimble	\$14,975	\$28,167	\$13,193
King	\$0	\$0	\$0
Kinney	\$5,086	\$13,393	\$8,307
Kleberg	\$247,751		(\$247,751)
Knox	\$20,128	\$2,950	(\$17,178)
La Salle	\$4,200	\$4,475	\$275
Lamar	\$170,590	\$322,735	\$152,145
Lamb *	\$35,193	\$40,962	\$5,769
Lampasas *	\$48,762	\$77,040	\$28,278
Lavaca	\$28,922	\$21,375	(\$7,547)
Lee	\$70,216	\$130,142	\$59,926
Leon	\$40,761	\$101,210	\$60,449
Liberty	\$233,650	\$213,443	(\$20,207)

FY03 Grant Award	Budgeted Less 02 Overpayment
	* 0.0==
\$5,707	\$3,955
\$8,501	\$2,799
\$11,730	
\$21,649	
\$5,882	
\$122,866	
\$7,470	
\$23,390	
\$55,659	***
\$13,303	\$2,413
\$11,170	\$9,443
\$38,348	
\$16,103	
\$5,343	\$5,344
\$25,414	
\$7,089	
\$6,580	
\$19,753	
\$6,699	\$6,343
\$27,680	
\$10,876	\$1,818
\$12,096	\$8,231
, ,===	\$9,462
\$12,322	
\$11,126	\$3,462
\$33,026	\$16,599

Actual 03 Expenditures over Baseline	Budgeted Maximum Payment	Payment to Date	Final Amount Due To (From)
(\$143,283)	\$0		
\$8,198	\$1,752		\$4,243
\$3,812	\$5,702		\$1,013
\$45,509	\$11,730	\$11,318	\$412
(\$21,798)	\$21,649	\$20,889	(\$20,889)
(\$400)	\$5,882	\$5,675	(\$5,675)
\$530,144	\$122,866	\$118,552	\$4,314
(\$8,981)	\$7,470	\$7,208	(\$7,208)
\$84,431	\$23,390	\$22,569	\$821
\$68,983	\$55,659	\$53,705	\$1,954
\$34,177	\$10,890		\$13,303
(\$4,775)	\$1,727		(\$9,443)
\$131,786	\$38,348	\$37,001	\$1,347
\$22,981	\$16,103	\$15,538	\$565
\$0	\$0		
(\$309)	(\$1)		(\$5,344)
\$1,863	\$25,414	\$24,522	(\$22,659)
\$13,193	\$7,089	\$6,840	\$249
\$0	\$0		
\$8,307	\$6,580	\$6,349	\$231
(\$247,751)	\$19,753	\$19,059	(\$19,059)
(\$17,178)	\$356		(\$6,343)
\$275	\$0		\$0
\$152,145	\$27,680	\$26,708	\$972
\$5,769	\$9,058	\$10,494	(\$6,543)
\$28,278	\$3,865		\$12,096
(\$7,547)	(\$9,462)		(\$9,462)
\$59,926	\$12,322	\$11,889	\$433
\$60,449	\$7,664	\$10,735	\$391
(\$20,207)	\$16,427		(\$16,599)

County	Baseline or Adjusted Baseline	FY03 Total Expenditures	FY 03 Eligible Expenditure over Baseline
Limestone	\$71,083	\$130,803	\$59,720
Lipscomb	\$5,275	\$5,479	\$204
Live Oak	\$85,751	\$83,971	(\$1,780)
Llano *	\$29,353	\$41,277	\$11,924
Loving	\$0	\$4,901	\$4,901
Lubbock	\$1,502,428	\$2,104,627	\$602,199
Lynn		\$22,301	\$22,301
Madison *	\$40,878	\$90,616	\$49,738
Marion	\$52,318	\$90,628	\$38,310
Martin	\$4,450	\$4,100	(\$350)
Mason *	\$4,512	\$12,702	\$8,190
Matagorda *	\$123,156	\$153,063	\$29,907
Maverick	\$73,027	\$35,663	(\$37,364)
McCulloch	\$37,333	\$25,521	(\$11,812)
McLennan	\$1,233,338	\$1,939,119	\$705,781
McMullen		\$1,028	\$1,028
Medina	\$101,441	\$139,953	\$38,512
Menard	\$5,612	\$7,537	\$1,925
Midland	\$554,668	\$857,234	\$302,566
Milam	\$122,774	\$127,943	\$5,169
Mills	\$2,536	\$20,427	\$17,891
Mitchell	\$26,196	\$41,367	\$15,171
Montague	\$68,780	\$132,771	\$63,991
Montgomery *	\$1,483,216	\$2,645,493	\$1,162,277
Moore	\$57,391	\$66,767	\$9,376
Morris	\$42,691	\$71,280	\$28,589
Motley	\$4,924	\$12,770	\$7,846
Nacogdoches *	\$113,390	\$193,240	\$79,850
Navarro	\$104,584	\$133,128	\$28,544
Newton	\$62,521	\$64,449	\$1,928

FY03 Grant Award	Budgeted Less 02 Overpayment
\$15,312	
\$6,221	\$628
\$9,917	\$7,594
\$11,809	\$1,655
\$5,027	
\$118,459	
\$7,617	
\$11,051	
\$10,117	
\$6,896	\$6,488
\$6,493	\$3,558
\$22,749	
\$23,894	\$16,504
\$8,837	
\$104,846	
\$23,379	#4.044
\$5,943	\$1,641
\$51,344	\$20,271
\$14,683	\$12,222
\$7,409	
\$9,535	
\$13,940	
\$142,374	
\$14,409	
\$11,101	ΦE E4.4
\$5,570	\$5,511
\$32,685	
\$26,101	
\$12,048	

Actual 03 Expenditures over Baseline	Budgeted Maximum Payment	Payment to Date	Final Amount Due To (From)
\$59,720	\$15,312	\$14,774	\$538
\$204	\$5,593		(\$424)
(\$1,780)	\$2,323		(\$7,594)
\$11,924	\$10,154		\$10,269
\$4,901	\$5,027	\$4,850	\$51
\$602,199	\$118,459	\$114,299	\$4,160
\$22,301	\$7,617	\$7,350	\$267
\$49,738	\$11,051	\$10,663	\$388
\$38,310	\$10,117	\$9,762	\$355
(\$350)	\$408		(\$6,488)
\$8,190	\$2,935	\$6,265	(\$1,633)
\$29,907	\$22,749	\$21,950	\$799
(\$37,364)	\$7,390		(\$16,504)
(\$11,812)	\$8,837	\$8,527	(\$8,527)
\$705,781	\$104,846	\$101,164	\$3,682
\$1,028	\$0		\$0
\$38,512	\$23,379	\$22,558	\$821
\$1,925	\$4,302		\$284
\$302,566	\$31,073		\$51,344
\$5,169	\$2,461		(\$7,053)
\$17,891	\$7,409	\$7,149	\$260
\$15,171	\$9,535	\$9,200	\$335
\$63,991	\$13,940	\$13,450	\$490
\$1,162,277	\$142,374	\$137,374	\$5,000
\$9,376	\$14,409	\$13,903	(\$4,527)
\$28,589	\$11,101	\$10,711	\$390
\$7,846	\$59	\$5,374	(\$3,039)
\$79,850	\$32,685	\$31,537	\$1,148
\$28,544	\$26,101	\$25,184	\$917
\$1,928	\$12,048	\$11,625	(\$9,697)

	Baseline or Adjusted	FY03 Total	FY 03 Eligible Expenditure	FY03
County	Baseline	Expenditures	over Baseline	Aw
Nolan	\$100,489	\$130,181	\$29,692	\$
Nueces	\$1,465,517	\$2,227,850	\$762,333	\$1
Ochiltree	\$31,560	\$36,450	\$4,890	:
Oldham	\$5,304	\$6,330	\$1,026	:
Orange *	\$258,127	\$368,391	\$110,264	\$:
Palo Pinto	\$76,824	\$109,449	\$32,625	\$
Panola	\$83,816	\$130,766	\$46,950	\$
Parker	\$169,704	\$315,250	\$145,546	\$4
Parmer	\$21,800	\$25,970	\$4,170	;
Pecos	\$97,978	\$136,653	\$38,675	
Polk	\$193,134	\$319,611	\$126,477	\$2
Potter	\$1,196,246	\$1,405,977	\$209,731	\$
Presidio	\$15,346	\$27,814	\$12,468	;
Rains *	\$19,268		(\$19,268)	;
Randall	\$399,145	\$486,055	\$86,910	\$
Reagan	\$20,384	\$33,889	\$13,505	;
Real	\$23,283		(\$23,283)	:
Red River	\$147,173	\$94,947	(\$52,226)	\$
Reeves	\$56,999	\$107,717	\$50,718	\$
Refugio	\$29,221	\$22,226	(\$6,995)	;
Roberts	\$4,975	\$1,644	(\$3,331)	:
Robertson *	\$69,490	\$83,247	\$13,757	\$
Rockwall	\$149,764	\$261,222	\$111,458	\$2
Runnels	\$47,327	\$78,278	\$30,951	\$
Rusk	\$59,375	\$154,290	\$94,915	\$2
Sabine	\$18,780	\$31,095	\$12,315	;
San Augustine	\$4,833	\$11,625	\$6,792	;
San Jacinto	\$57,237	\$119,470	\$62,233	\$
San Patricio	\$234,139	\$332,720	\$98,581	\$
San Saba	\$19,879	\$23,994	\$4,115	

FY03 Grant Award	Budgeted Less 02 Overpayment
\$12,390	
\$151,669	
\$9,212	
\$6,022	
\$38,943	\$0
\$17,638	
\$15,642	
\$46,382	
\$9,684	
	\$10,037
\$24,235	
\$58,097	
\$7,918	\$3,649
\$8,651	\$338
\$53,779	
\$6,329	\$2,591
\$6,425	**
\$10,718	\$9,302
\$11,143	
\$8,127	\$5.050
\$5,354	\$5,352
\$11,392	\$925
\$25,146	
\$10,375	
\$27,152	CO 474
\$9,182	\$8,171
\$8,574	\$56
\$15,403	
\$36,396	£4.600
\$7,471	\$4,666

Actual 03	Budgeted	_	
Expenditures over Baseline	Maximum Payment	Payment to Date	Final Amount Due To (From)
\$29,692	\$12,390	\$11,955	\$435
\$762,333	\$151,669	\$146,343	\$5,326
\$4,890	\$9,212	\$8,889	(\$3,999)
\$1,026	\$6,022	\$5,811	(\$4,785)
\$110,264	\$38,943	\$37,576	\$1,367
\$32,625	\$17,638	\$17,019	\$619
\$46,950	\$15,642	\$15,093	\$549
\$145,546	\$46,382		\$46,382
\$4,170	\$9,684	\$9,344	(\$5,174)
\$38,675	(\$10,037)		
\$126,477	\$24,235	\$23,384	\$851
\$209,731	\$58,097	\$56,057	\$2,040
\$12,468	\$4,269		\$7,918
(\$19,268)	\$8,313		(\$338)
\$86,910	\$53,779	\$51,891	\$1,888
\$13,505	\$3,738		\$6,329
(\$23,283)	\$6,425	\$6,199	(\$6,199)
(\$52,226)	\$1,416		(\$9,302)
\$50,718	\$11,143	\$10,752	\$391
(\$6,995)	\$8,127	\$7,842	(\$7,842)
(\$3,331)	\$2		(\$5,352)
\$13,757	\$10,467		\$11,392
\$111,458	\$25,146	\$24,263	\$883
\$30,951	\$10,375	\$10,011	\$364
\$94,915	\$27,152	\$26,199	\$953
\$12,315	\$1,011		\$4,144
\$6,792	\$8,518		\$6,736
\$62,233	\$15,403	\$14,862	\$541
\$98,581	\$36,396	\$35,118	\$1,278
\$4,115	\$2,805		(\$551)

County	Baseline or Adjusted Baseline	FY03 Total Expenditures	FY 03 Eligible Expenditure over Baseline
Schleicher	\$14,400	\$25,552	\$11,152
Scurry	\$30,391	\$33,572	\$3,181
Shackelford	\$3,405	\$10,473	\$7,068
Shelby	\$125,041	\$151,670	\$26,629
Sherman	\$10,000	\$9,895	(\$105)
Smith	\$855,337	\$1,011,193	\$155,856
Somervell *	\$13,117	\$27,065	\$13,948
Starr *	\$42,537	\$47,804	\$5,267
Stephens	\$29,954	\$53,244	\$23,290
Sterling	\$2,000	\$6,792	\$4,792
Stonewall	\$2,129	\$5,242	\$3,113
Sutton	\$27,873	\$40,069	\$12,195
Swisher	\$65,799	\$37,848	(\$27,951)
Tarrant	\$5,736,549	\$11,154,503	\$5,417,954
Taylor	\$444,287	\$721,623	\$277,336
Terrell	\$45	\$15,496	\$15,451
Terry	\$60,087	\$64,454	\$4,367
Throckmorton	\$3,250	\$5,930	\$2,680
Titus	\$47,065	\$47,841	\$776
Tom Green	\$794,504	\$1,127,734	\$333,230
Travis	\$4,682,371	\$5,728,642	\$1,046,271
Trinity *	\$25,813	\$44,823	\$19,010
Tyler	\$102,683	\$77,681	(\$25,002)
Upshur	\$105,496	\$166,974	\$61,478
Upton *	\$15,114	\$18,474	\$3,360
Uvalde	\$75,350	\$76,033	\$683
Val Verde *	\$176,404	\$301,472	\$125,068
Van Zandt *	\$196,930	\$260,524	\$63,594
Victoria	\$194,012	\$317,200	\$123,188
Walker	\$193,391	\$297,502	\$104,111

FY03 Grant Award	Budgeted Less 02 Overpayment
\$6,172	\$5,071
	ψο,σ: :
\$12,651	
\$6,544	\$12,511
\$15,077	\$1,468
\$6,273	ψ1,100
\$86,698	\$2,892
\$7,720	\$18,527
\$26,411	Ψ10,021
\$9,524	\$1,394
\$5,556 \$5,676	\$2,318
\$5,676	Ψ2,010
\$6,629	\$1,102
\$8,347	Ψ1,102
\$681,292	
\$64,181	
\$5,506	
\$10,968	
\$5,865	
\$18,149	
\$53,637	
\$384,845	
\$11,444	¢4.400
\$13,338	\$4,482
\$21,503	\$542
\$6,360	
\$17,124	¢40 500
\$22,919	\$10,523
\$24,231	
\$44,322	
\$33,879	

Actual 03 Expenditures over Baseline	Budgeted Maximum Payment	Payment to Date	Final Amount Due To (From)
\$11,152	\$1,101		\$6,081
\$3,181	\$12,651	\$12,207	(\$9,026)
\$7,068	\$6,544	\$6,314	\$230
\$26,629	\$2,566		\$14,118
(\$105)	\$4,805		(\$1,468)
\$155,856	\$86,698	\$83,654	\$3,044
\$13,948	\$4,828	\$7,449	\$271
\$5,267	\$7,884		(\$13,260)
\$23,290	\$9,524	\$9,190	\$334
\$4,792	\$4,163		\$3,398
\$3,113	\$3,358	\$5,477	(\$4,682)
\$12,195	\$6,629	\$6,396	\$233
(\$27,951)	\$7,245		(\$1,102)
\$5,417,954	\$681,291	\$657,368	\$23,924
\$277,336	\$64,181	\$61,927	\$2,254
\$15,451	\$5,506	\$5,313	\$193
\$4,367	\$10,968	\$10,583	(\$6,216)
\$2,680	\$5,865	\$5,659	(\$2,979)
\$776	\$18,149	\$17,152	(\$16,376)
\$333,230	\$53,637	\$51,754	\$1,883
\$1,046,271	\$384,844	\$371,331	\$13,514
\$19,010	\$11,444	\$11,042	\$402
(\$25,002)	\$8,856		(\$4,482)
\$61,478	\$20,962	\$20,748	\$755
\$3,360	\$6,360		\$3,360
\$683	\$17,124	\$16,523	(\$15,840)
\$125,068	\$12,396		\$22,919
\$63,594	\$24,231	\$23,380	\$851
\$123,188	\$44,322	\$42,766	\$1,556
\$104,111	\$33,879	\$32,689	\$1,190


County	Baseline or Adjusted Baseline	FY03 Total Expenditures	FY 03 Eligible Expenditure over Baseline	FY03 Grant Award	Budgeted Less 02 Overpayment
Waller	\$150,692	\$210,039	\$59,346	\$18,048	
Ward	\$44,809	\$64,657	\$19,848	\$10,101	
Washington *	\$130,236	\$165,412	\$35,176	\$19,204	
Webb	\$1,225,473	\$2,182,915	\$957,442	\$95,306	
Wharton	\$149,297	\$115,563	(\$33,734)	\$24,261	
Wheeler	\$25,038	\$42,968	\$17,930	\$7,111	
Wichita	\$763,154	\$871,285	\$108,131	\$66,570	
Wilbarger	\$46,762	\$76,599	\$29,837	\$11,863	\$10,999
Willacy	\$9,600	\$124,703	\$115,103	\$13,022	
Williamson	\$576,146	\$1,019,964	\$443,818	\$121,891	
Wilson	\$64,163	\$87,084	\$22,921	\$20,155	
Winkler	\$13,986	\$45,222	\$31,236	\$8,355	
Wise	\$102,549	\$164,498	\$61,949	\$27,817	
Wood	\$204,700	\$332,242	\$127,542	\$22,186	
Yoakum	\$24,697	\$35,616	\$10,919	\$8,424	
Young	\$83,791	\$101,667	\$17,876	\$13,391	
Zapata	\$49,107	\$167,047	\$117,940	\$9,867	
Zavala	\$7,856	\$22,072	\$14,216		\$4,386
Total	\$90.373.914	\$129.730.924	\$39.357.010	\$10,800,000	\$406.807

Actual 03 Expenditures over Baseline	Budgeted Maximum Payment	Payment to Date	Final Amount Due To (From)
\$59,346	\$18,048	\$17,414	\$634
\$19,848	\$10,101	\$9,746	\$355
\$35,176	\$19,204	\$18,530	\$674
\$957,442	\$95,306	\$91,959	\$3,347
(\$33,734)	\$24,261	\$23,409	(\$23,409)
\$17,930	\$7,111	\$6,861	\$250
\$108,131	\$66,570	\$64,232	\$2,338
\$29,837	\$864	\$11,446	\$417
\$115,103	\$13,022	\$12,565	\$457
\$443,818	\$121,891	\$117,611	\$4,280
\$22,921	\$20,155	\$19,447	\$708
\$31,236	\$8,355	\$8,062	\$293
\$61,949	\$27,817	\$26,840	\$977
\$127,542	\$22,186	\$21,407	\$779
\$10,919	\$8,424	\$8,128	\$296
\$17,876	\$13,391	\$12,921	\$470
\$117,940	\$9,867	\$9,521	\$346
\$14,216	(\$4,386)		
\$39,357,010	\$10,393,188	\$9,637,213	\$222,276

^{*}Adjusted Baseline

Discretionary Grants

The Task Force solicited applications for discretionary grants from all 254 counties.



There were 26 counties applied for funding for 35 different programs. The programs cover three broad areas: 1) direct client services; technology initiatives; and 3) processes and persons to help counties manage and implement effective indigent defense practices.

The basic review and selection process involved other state agencies assisting the Task Force staff by volunteering staff. The reviewers were from the State Grants Team in the Office of the Governor, Texas Department of Criminal Justice – CJAD, Office of Court Administration, and Texas Juvenile Probation Commission. After the grant administrator certified the application complete and related to indigent defense the reviewers scored each proposal. The scored proposals were taken to the grants and reporting committee for review with recommendations. The committee provided comments for staff consideration and points of negotiation that the staff should discuss with counties. Of the 26 counties that applied, 20 counties were awarded grants representing 21 different programs for a total of \$1.4 million.

The FY03 discretionary grants marked the first statewide development, funding, and implementation of novel indigent defense projects. Many programs started timely, others however, experienced challenges. Only ten of the counties submitted for reimbursement in the first quarter. Eleven counties had their programs fully operational within the first 90 days of the grant—16 by the end of the second quarter. The delays were a result of local coordination issues or infrastructure issues related to technology. Two grants were modified to reduce their scope after the counties requested the modifications. These two counties were not able to implement the grants as originally funded.

FY03 Discretionary Grant Expenditures

County	Grant Proposal Title	Brief Description	Requested Grant Amount	Discretionary Grant Award Amount
Bell	Indigent Defense Computer Support	Purchase a laptop computer for the ID Coordinator to complete applications for indigency in the jail.	\$2,591.36	\$2,591.00
Cameron	Indigent Defense Coordinator	Provide ID process coordination between courts, law enforcement, and attorneys.	\$45,725.00	\$45,725.00
Collin	Indigent Defense Coordinator	Provide ID process coordination between courts, law enforcement, and attorneys.	\$80,349.00	\$80,349.00
Dallas	* Computers for Public Defenders	Purchase 54 computers for the PD attorneys.	\$142,245.00	\$142,245.00
	* Indigent Defense Coordinator	Provide ID process coordination between county courts, law enforcement, and attorneys.	\$75,153.20	\$75,153.00
	* Parent / Youth Advocate Attorney	Provide legal services to coordinate among PD attorneys, courts, and juvenile respondents and the parents of juvenile respondents.	\$87,027.00	\$73,950.00
Duval	Indigent Defense Coordinator	Provide ID process coordination between courts, law enforcement, and attorneys.	\$30,980.00	\$30,980.00
Fort Bend	Indigent Defense Coordinator Office	Provide ID process coordination between courts, law enforcement, and attorneys. Also purchased computers to assist judges in appointing the next attorney on the list.	\$220,784.00	\$142,958.00
Haskell	39th Dist Court /PT Indigent Defense Coordinator	Provide ID process coordination between courts, law enforcement, and attorneys.	\$9,561.04	\$9,561.00
Hidalgo	Indigent Defense Coordinator and VTC Program	Provide ID process coordination between courts, law enforcement, and attorneys. Also purchase a video teleconference system.	\$400,000.00	\$115,000.00
Lamar	ID System of NE Texas	Provide a contract investigator system to the court appointed attorneys.	\$267,234.00	\$21,000.00
Montgomery	Computer Programming	Improve ID case tracking and reporting.	\$56,823.00	\$56,823.00
Smith	Network and Imaging System	Provide a system to allow attorneys accepting appointments to have immediate access to court files on their clients.	\$161,393.00	\$145,000.00

County	Grant Proposal Title	Brief Description	Requested Grant Amount	Discretionary Grant Award Amount
Taylor	Indigent Defense Coordinator	Provide ID process coordination between courts, law enforcement, and attorneys.	\$36,938.80	\$36,938.00
Tom Green	Attorney - Client Video Teleconference network	Purchase a video teleconference system for magistration and confidential attorney client meetings.	\$42,480.00	\$42,480.00
Travis	Automated Intake Process	Improve data tracking and purchase equipment for applications of indigency.	\$398,860.00	\$150,000.00
Van Zandt	ID Administrator	Provide ID process coordination between courts, law enforcement, and attorneys.	\$36,507.77	\$36,507.00
Waller	Waller County Contract Attorneys	Model contract appointment system.	\$52,401.00	\$52,401.00
Webb	Indigent Defense Coordinator	Provide ID process coordination between courts, law enforcement, and attorneys.	\$75,000.00	\$18,000.00
Wichita	Commitment to Justice - Phase II	Provide ID process coordination between courts, law enforcement, and attorneys.	\$400,000.00	\$103,242.00
Wise	Indigent Defense Grant Program - District Court	Develop tracking system to assist auditor in completing the annual report.	\$3,300.00	\$2,500.00
	Total			\$1,383,403.00